OFFICIAL DISPATCH

AIR SPECIFY AIR OR SEA POUCH

DISPATCH NO .: MGW-A-99

CLASSIFICATION

17 March 1949

This decument is part of an integrated file. If separated from the Co. it must be

TO L

subjected to individual systematic resista

Pseudonyms and Project Code Names. SUBJECT:

REF

FROM

Reference is made to pseudonyms and project code names transmitted by you to us, either by g or by our regular communication channels, which are to be used for operational purposes.

In view of planned operations for the future involving the use of indigenous personnel and contacts and the activation of new activities, it is anticipated that we will require a considerable number of additional pseudonyms and project code names. It will be appreciated, therefore, if you will submit to us as soon as possible a new block of each.

For your information and in order that you may make the necessary adjustment in the home office records, we have dropped the pseudonyms "Kilt" and "Tunic" which were temporarily adopted in developing the initial stages of EASTBOUND Project. "Kilt" has been replaced by the pseudonym In and "Tunic" by J, numbers 5 and 6 on

4. For your further information, we have assigned the code name "EARPHONE" to the overall German operation which I

is assigned. The assignment of this code name to general activities has been necessary for purposes of communication with him, even though the code name is not descriptive of any specific project or projects. The EASTBOUND Project would of course be a project activity under EARPHONE. If you have any comment on this assignment of names or any suggestion as to how we can better make a distinction between general overall activities and projects relating to them, I would be very pleased to have your suggestions. Likewise, I would appreciate receiving any appropriate instructions concerning

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT **BATE 2007**

SECRET

the procedure for assigning pseudonyms. For example, there is some question in my mind as to when an official pseudonym should be assigned to an individual. In some instances—the case of \Box

is an example--we can virtually assume that the individual will eventually be cleared for employment by the home office and will occupy a key position in our operations. In such cases, it has seemed to me to be advisable to assign to the individual an official pseudonym immediately without awaiting the outcome of the home office investigation and clearance. In other cases, where the clearance of the individual by the home office cannot be assumed to the same degree, we have been uncertain whether to assign a pseudonym to such individuals immediately or to make the assignment following official clearance by the home office. Any instructions or suggestions you may have concerning this point will be appreciated.